§ 1606.8

an individual's employment opportunities on the basis of national origin. It may also create an atmosphere of inferiority, isolation and intimidation based on national origin which could result in a discriminatory working environment. Therefore, the Commission will presume that such a rule violates title VII and will closely scrutinize it

- (b) When applied only at certain times. An employer may have a rule requiring that employees speak only in English at certain times where the employer can show that the rule is justified by business necessity.
- (c) Notice of the rule. It is common for individuals whose primary language is not English to inadvertently change from speaking English to speaking their primary language. Therefore, if an employer believes it has a business necessity for a speak-English-only rule at certain times, the employer should inform its employees of the general circumstances when speaking only in English is required and of the consequences of violating the rule. If an employer fails to effectively notify its employees of the rule and makes an adverse employment decision against an individual based on a violation of the rule, the Commission will consider the employer's application of the rule as evidence of discrimination on the basis of national origin.

§ 1606.8 Harassment.

(a) The Commission has consistently held that harassment on the basis of national origin is a violation of title VII. An employer has an affirmative duty to maintain a working environment free of harassment on the basis of national origin. ⁸

- (b) Ethnic slurs and other verbal or physical conduct relating to an individual's national origin constitute harassment when this conduct:
- (1) Has the purpose or effect of creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment;
- (2) Has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance; or
- (3) Otherwise adversely affects an individual's employment opportunities.
 - (c) [Reserved]
- (d) With respect to conduct between fellow employees, an employer is responsible for acts of harassment in the workplace on the basis of national origin, where the employer, its agents or supervisory employees, knows or should have known of the conduct, unless the employer can show that it took immediate and appropriate corrective action.
- (e) An employer may also be responsible for the acts of non-employees with respect to harassment of employees in the workplace on the basis of national origin, where the employer, its agents or supervisory employees, knows or should have known of the conduct and fails to take immediate and appropriate corrective action. In reviewing these cases, the Commission will consider the extent of the employer's control and any other legal responsibility which the employer may have with respect to the conduct of such non-employees.

APPENDIX A TO § 1606.8—BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Commission has rescinded §1606.8(c) of the Guidelines on National Origin Harassment, which set forth the standard of employer liability for harassment by supervisors. That section is no longer valid, in light of the Supreme Court decisions in Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth, 524 U.S. 742 (1998), and Faragher v. City of Boca Raton, 524 U.S. 775 (1998). The Commission has issued a policy document that examines the Faragher and Ellerth decisions and provides detailed guidance on the issue of vicarious liability for harassment by supervisors. EEOC Enforcement Guidance: Vicarious Employer Liability for Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (6/18/99), EEOC Compliance Manual (BNA), N:4075 [Binder 3]; also available through EEOC's web site, at www.eeoc.gov.,

⁷See CD 71-446 (1970), CCH EEOC Decisions ¶6173, 2 FEP Cases, 1127; CD 72-0281 (1971), CCH EEOC Decisions ¶6293.

^{*}See CD CL68-12-431 EU (1969), CCH EEOC Decisions (6085, 2 FEP Cases 295; CD 72-0621 (1971), CCH EEOC Decisions (6311, 4 FEP Cases 312; CD 72-1561 (1972), CCH EEOC Decisions (6354, 4 FEP Cases 852; CD 74-05 (1973), CCH EEOC Decisions (6384, 4 FEP Cases 852; CD 76-41 (1975), CCH EEOC Decisions (6382, CD 76-41 (1975), CCH EEOC Decisions (6632. See also, Amendment to Guidelines on Discrimination Because of Sex, §1604.11(a) n. 1, 45 FR 7476 sy 74677 (November 10, 1980).

or by calling the EEOC Publications Distribution Center, at 1-800-669-3362 (voice), 1-800-800-3302 (TTY).

[45 FR 85635, Dec. 29, 1980, as amended at 64 FR 58334, Oct. 29, 1999]

PART 1607—UNIFORM GUIDELINES ON EMPLOYEE SELECTION PRO-**CEDURES (1978)**

COMPREHENSIVE TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

1607.1. Statement of purpose

- A. Need for uniformity—issuing agencies
- B. Purpose of guidelines
- C. Relation to prior guidelines

1607.2. Scope

- A. Application of guidelines
- B. Employment decisions
- C. Selection procedures
- D. Limitations
- E. Indian preference not affected
- 1607.3. Discrimination defined: Relationship between use of selection procedures and discrimination
 - A. Procedure having adverse impact constitutes discrimination unless justified
 - B. Consideration of suitable alternative selection procedures

1607.4. Information on impact

- A. Records concerning impact
- B. Applicable race, sex and ethnic groups for recordkeeping
- C. Evaluation of selection rates. The "bottom line'
- D. Adverse impact and the "four-fifths rule''
- E. Consideration of user's equal employment opportunity posture
- 1607.5. General standards for validity studies A. Acceptable types of validity studies

 - B. Criterion-related, content, and construct validity
 - C. Guidelines are consistent with professional standards
- D. Need for documentation of validity
- E. Accuracy and standardization
- F. Caution against selection on basis of knowledges, skills or ability learned in brief orientation period
- G. Method of use of selection procedures
- H. Cutoff scores
- I. Use of selection procedures for higher level jobs
- J. Interim use of selection procedures
- K. Review of validity studies for currency 1607.6. Use of selection procedures which have not been validated
- A. Use of alternate selection procedures to eliminate adverse impact
- B. Where validity studies cannot or need not be performed
- (1) Where informal or unscored procedures are used

- (2) Where formal and scored procedures are used
- 1607.7. Use of other validity studies
- A. Validity studies not conducted by the user
- Use of criterion-related validity evidence from other sources
- (1) Validity evidence
- (2) Job similarity
- (3) Fairness evidence
- Validity evidence from multiunit study
- D. Other significant variables

1607.8. Cooperative studies

- A. Encouragement of cooperative studies
- B. Standards for use of cooperative studies 1607.9. No assumption of validity
- A. Unacceptable substitutes for evidence of validity
- Encouragement of professional supervision
- 1607.10. Employment agencies and employment services
 - A. Where selection procedures are devised by agency
 - B. Where selection procedures are devised elsewhere
- 1607.11. Disparate treatment
- 1607.12. Retesting of applicants

1607.13. Affirmative action

- A. Affirmative action obligations
- B. Encouragement of voluntary affirmative action programs

TECHNICAL STANDARDS

- 1607.14. Technical standards for validity studies
 - A. Validity studies should be based on review of information about the job
 - Technical standards for criterion-related validity studies
 - Technical feasibility
 - (2) Analysis of the job
 - (3) Criterion measures
 - (4) Representativeness of the sample
 - (5) Statistical relationships
 - (6) Operational use of selection procedures
 - (7) Overstatement of validity findings
 - (8) Fairness
 - (a) Unfairness defined
 - (b) Investigation of fairness
 - (c) General considerations in fairness investigations
 - (d) When unfairness is shown
 - (e) Technical feasibility of fairness studies
 - (f) Continued use of selection procedures when fairness studies not feasible C. Technical standards for content validity
 - studies (1) Appropriateness of content validity
 - (2) Job analysis for content validity
 - (3) Development of selection procedures (4) Standards for demonstrating content
 - validity (5) Reliability

studies